FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 ALEJANDRO MADRID, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

10 vs.

MATTHEW CATE, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 90-3094 TEH

STIPULATION AND ORDER CONFIRMING ATTORNEYS' FEES FOR FOURTH QUARTER OF 2009

The parties, through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

On September 21, 1995, this Court established a procedure by which plaintiffs are to collect attorneys' fees for work performed in connection with monitoring and enforcing compliance with the Court's orders. This procedure requires plaintiffs' counsel to submit to defendants a quarterly statement of fees incurred in monitoring work, and permits defendants 30 days from the receipt of plaintiffs' statement to notify plaintiffs of any disputed items. After the parties meet and confer on any of defendant's objections, counsel shall then prepare a stipulated order for payment of the fees not subject to

defendant's objections. The Order also states that defendants shall have forty-five days from the entry of the order to pay the undisputed fees and that interest on any undisputed fees will run from the thirty-first day following defendants' receipt of plaintiffs' statement, accruing at the rate provided by 28 U.S.C. § 1961. Pursuant to this procedure, plaintiffs' counsel sent their fourth quarter 2009 billing statement demanding \$1,973.60 on defendants on February 8, 2010. After a meet and confer, defendants do not object to payment of \$1,973.60 in fees and costs for the period from October 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009. This agreement is in accord with the Joint Stipulation Regarding Payment of Fees dated October 2, 2000.

WHEREFORE, the following sums are due and collectable within 45 days of the signing of this Order:

Interest Daily Date Interest Accruing Amount Begins Rate Interest March 15, 2009 4th Qtr.2009 **\$1,973.60** .31% \$0.001 (Interest rate equals the weekly average 1 year constant maturity treasury yield ending January 28, 2010.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Ε. HENDERSON

Judge

U.S. District Court

APPROVED AS TO FORM.

Dated:

Dated: 2/8/10

MICHAEL JORGENSON

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

Madrid v. Cate, C 90-3094 TEH 40 2009 Fees

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL 1 2 Case Name: Alejandro Madrid, et al. No. C-90-3094 TEH 3 Matthew Cate, et al 4 5 I am employed in the County of Alameda, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within entitled cause: my business address is Prison Law Office, 1917 6 Fifth Street, Berkeley, California 94710. 7 8 On February 24, 2010, I served the attached 9 STIPULATION AND ORDER CONFIRMING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS FOR FOURTH QUARTER OF 2009 10 11 12 in said cause, placing, or causing to be placed, a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail at Berkeley, California, addressed as follows: 13 14 15 Emily Brinkman, DAG 16 Deputy Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 17 455 Golden Gate, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-3664 18 19 20 21 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed at Berkeley, 22 California on February 24, 2010. 23 24 25 26

27

28

MADRID TIME AND EXPENSES PRISON LAW OFFICE 10-01-09 thru 12-31-09

MONITORING	<u>HOURS</u>	RATE	<u>AMOUNT</u>
ATTORNEYS			
Steven Fama	10.30	177.00	1,823.10
TOTAL MONITORING			\$1,823.10
FEES ON FEES			
Edie DeGraff	0.90	160.00	144.00
TOTAL FEES ON FEES			\$144.00
<u>EXPENSES</u>			\$6.50
TOTAL TIME AND EXPENSES			\$1,973.60

Prison Law Office General Delivery San Quentin, CA 94964

Madrid v. Davis US District Court Northern District

In Reference To: No. C 90-3094 TEH

Professional Services

				Hours	Amount
	<u>Other</u>				
10/9/2009	SF	review monthly force documents, received today, for month of S	eptember	0.30	
10/12/2009	SF ·	review BIR semi-annual report covering Jan through June 2009			
11/30/2009	SF	review proposed CDCR rules re use of force and reporting/review and compare to Pel Bay policies	v threof,	2.80	
12/1/2009	SF	·			
12/11/2009	SF	, <i>,</i>			
	SF	email to opp co requesting copy of use of force incident report		0.10	
	SF	review us of force doucments produced in December (one very lipacket involving lethal force)	arge	0.50	
	SF	email to opp co and Pel Bay requesting complete 602 and fact	finder	0.20	
	For pr	ofessional services rendered		10.30	\$1,823.10
		User Summary			
Name			Hours	Rate	<u>Amount</u>
Steve Fama			10.30	177.00	\$1,823.10

Prison Law Office General Delivery San Quentin, CA 94964

Madrid et al., **US District Court** Northern District Fees on Fees

In Reference To: C 90-3094 TEH

Professional Services

			Hours	Amount
Other				
10/20/2009 ED Review and finalize time an	d expenses for 3Q billing		0.90	
For professional services rendered	I		0.90	\$144.00
	User Summary			
Name		Hours _	Rate	Amount
Edie DeGraff		0.90	160.00	\$144.00

Prison Law Office General Delivery San Quentin, CA 94964

Madrid v. Davis US District Court Northern District

In Reference To: No. C 90-3094 TEH

Additional Charges:

			Amount
	\$gene	eral	
12/31/2009		Postage billing 10-1-09 thru 12-31-09 Copy Machines billing	2.10 4.40
	Total	costs	\$6.50